IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

VINCENT DEON BROWN,	**§**	
Movant,	**§**	
	§	
v.	**§**	**Civil No. 3:19-CV-1842-D**
	§	**(Criminal No. 3:15-CR-543-D-2)**
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	**§**	
Respondent.	**§**	

ORDER

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The undersigned district judge has reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the court adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

It is therefore ordered that the motion to vacate set aside or correct sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is summarily dismissed with prejudice. *See* Rule 4(b) of the RULES GOVERNING SECTION 2255 PROCEEDINGS.

Considering the record in this case and pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), Rule 11(a) of the Rules Governing §§ 2254 and 2255 proceedings, and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), the court denies a certificate of appealability. The court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions, and recommendation filed in this case in support of its finding that the movant has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find this court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," or (2) that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right" and "debatable whether [this court] was correct in its procedural ruling." *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S.473, 484 (2000).

If movant files a notice of appeal,

() movant may proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal.

(**X**) movant must pay the $505.00 appellate filing fee or submit a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

SO ORDERED.

December 17, 2019.

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
SENIOR JUDGE